ESTTA Tracking number:

ESTTA775754

Filing date:

10/10/2016

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

Proceeding	91212653
Party	Plaintiff Nautica Apparel, Inc.
Correspondence Address	STEPHEN L BAKER BAKER AND RANNELLS PA 92 E MAIN ST, SUITE 302 SOMERVILLE, NJ 08876 UNITED STATES officeactions@br-tmlaw.com, k.hnasko@br-tmlaw.com, jmr@br-tmlaw.com, s.baker@br-tmlaw.com
Submission	Other Motions/Papers
Filer's Name	John M. Rannells
Filer's e-mail	jmr@br-tmlaw.com
Signature	/John M. Rannells/
Date	10/10/2016
Attachments	Motion for Reconsideration copy.pdf(112056 bytes)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE BEFORE THE TRADEMARK TRIAL AND APPEAL BOARD

X		
NAUTICA APPAREL, INC.,		Opposition No.: 91212653
Opposer, v.	:	
MAJESTIQUE CORPORATION,	:	Mark:
Applicant.	:	Ser. No. 85883577
X		

OPPOSER'S MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION OF THE BOARD'S DECISION DATED SEPTEMBER 12, 2016 (i.e., TRIAL SCHEDULE AS RESET)

On May 20, 2016, Opposer moved to reopen its trial period and reset trial dates (requesting in said motion that the dates be reset to close on June 10, 2016. At the time of the above referenced motion, the testimony deposition of Opposer's witness was noticed for June 9, 2016.

As previously briefed, the undersigned attempted to confer with Applicant's attorney regarding the motion and regarding the upcoming noticed testimony deposition. The undersigned's attempts were ignored by Applicant's counsel.

The undersigned also called the Interlocutory attorney and discussed the potential futility of proceeding with a deposition that opposing counsel would probably object to and move to strike, as Opposer's motion was pending and opposing counsel's response was not due until June

10, 2016, the day after the deposition was noticed for. Opposer attempted, on a number of occasions, to communicate with Applicant's counsel regarding the matter including the following which was previously briefed:

5/20/16 Email to Mr. Negretti with . . . motion to reopen and extend and with copy of Notice of Taking Testimony of Opposer's witness; and requesting Mr. Negretti's availability.

No response

6/8/16 Call to Mr. Negretti to arrange conference call with Interlocutory Attorney regarding outstanding motions to extend/reopen; was told that Mr. Negretti would call me back.

No response

6/8/16 Email to Mr. Negretti advising of call to Interlocutory Attorney; advising that the deposition was being adjourned; advising that I would be filing a new motion to suspend pending resolution of pending motions.

No response

6/9/16 Applicant's due date to respond/object to Opposer's Motion to Reopen.

No response filed by Applicant.

6/10/16 Applicant filed a Motion for Involuntary Dismissal

6/10/16 TTAB Order suspending proceeding pending decision on (1) Opposer's Motion to Reopen and (2) Applicant's Motion for Involuntary Dismissal

As per the correspondence to Applicant's attorney, the June 9th testimony deposition was adjourned. Accordingly, the testimony of Opposer's witness did not take place.

On June 10, 2016, Applicant filed a motion for involuntary dismissal of the opposition.

On the same day, June 10, 2016, the Board suspended the proceedings for the purpose of

resolving (1) Opposer's May 20, 2016 motion to reopen trial, and 2) Applicant's June 10, 2016

motion for involuntary dismissal of opposition.

On June 17, 2016, Opposer filed its response and objection to Applicant's motion for

involuntary dismissal and also requested therein (see pg. 13) that "Opposer's motion to reopen

should be granted with new trial dates set by the Board." Opposer requested that new trial dates

be set by the Board, meaning the close of Opposer's trial period (in order to take the deposition

of Opposer's witness) and all subsequent dates.

On September 12, 2016, the Board ruled on the pending motions, granting Opposer's

motion to reopen and denying Applicant's motion for involuntary dismissal. The Board also

reset dates, but reset dates beginning with Applicant's Pretrial Disclosures.

Opposer requests that the Board reconsider its decision as regards the trial reset dates, to

include a period for Opposer's testimony in order to take the testimony of its witness, Opposer

requested the dates be reset in its response dated June 17, 2016 and assumed that the Board

would reset it's time to take the testimony of Opposer's witness. Opposer has never lost interest

in the case and seeks only to take and submit the testimony of its on witness, Margaret Bizzari.

Opposer prays for reconsideration of the prior order to permit Opposer time to take the

referenced testimony deposition.

Respectfully submitted,

BAKER and RANNELLS, PA

/John M. Rannells/

By: John M. Rannells

91212653 Motion for Reconsideration

Page 3

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a copy of the above Motion for Reconsideration of the Board's Order dated September 12, 2016 was sent to attorneys for Applicant this 10th day of October, 2016 via email (ginonegretti@gmail.com) and via first class mail, postage prepaid, to the following:

GINO NEGRETTI LAW OFFICES 670 PONCE DE LEON AVE. CARIBBEAN TOWERS, STE. 17 SAN JUAN, PR 00907-3207

/John M. Rannells/
John M. Rannells